

THE ELECTROMAGNETIC INTERACTIONS OF ELECTRONS IN THE LOWEST LANDAU LEVEL

Rashmi Ray¹ and B. Sakita²

Physics Department, City College of the City University of New York
New York, NY 10031

Abstract

Starting from a system of planar electrons in a strong magnetic field normal to the plane, interacting with perturbing electromagnetic fields, an effective Lagrangian for the fermions in the lowest Landau level (L.L.L.) has been derived. By choosing a suitable background electrostatic potential, an incompressible droplet of these electrons is constructed. The gauge invariant effective Lagrangian for the electrons in the L.L.L. is shown to split naturally into a $1+1$ dimensional Lagrangian for the electrons on the surface of the droplet and into a $2+1$ dimensional gauge-field Lagrangian representing the contribution of the interior of the droplet. Upon bosonization, the former represents the surface vibrations of the droplet. Individually neither of these two actions is gauge invariant, but it is shown that the gauge dependence from the two pieces cancels out. This demonstrates that the edge degrees of freedom are essential for maintaining gauge invariance.

¹E-mail address: rashmi@sci.ccny.cuny.edu.

²E-mail address: sakita@sci.ccny.cuny.edu.

I. Introduction

The importance of the edge states for the observability of the Quantum Hall effect was first pointed out by Halperin [1]. More recently, Wen [2], Stone [3] and Fröhlich and Kerler [4] have delineated the role of these edge waves in maintaining the electromagnetic $U(1)$ invariance of the system of planar electrons confined to a droplet and placed in a strong magnetic field orthogonal to the plane. They noted that when perturbative electromagnetic potentials are coupled to the electrons in the droplet, the fermionic degrees of freedom may be integrated out to obtain an effective action defined over the domain of the droplet. This effective action generically contains an Abelian Chern-Simons term with its coefficients given by the quantized Hall conductance. Further it is well known that a Chern-Simons term defined on a compact space is gauge non-invariant, the non-invariance manifesting itself through a surface term.

To restore gauge invariance, Wen [2] postulated a boundary action, expressed as a bilinear in the perturbing potential, the coefficient of the bilinear being the current-current correlator of the boundary current. If one requires that the gauge-variation of this boundary action should cancel against that of the Chern-Simons term, one gets conditions on the correlator. This condition enables one to show that the low energy excitations of the boundary Hamiltonian are massless. Further, the components of the currents in the momentum space are the creation operators for these massless excitations from the vacuum state.

The light-cone components of these currents satisfy the chiral $U(1)$ Kac-Moody algebra. Wen gives a field theory whose currents satisfy this algebra. It is a theory of chiral fermions living on the boundary and interacting with the perturbative electromagnetic fields on the boundary in a fashion dictated by minimal coupling. But since chiral fermions in $1+1$ dimensions are equivalent to chiral bosons, a bosonic realization can also be given. Such a bosonic construction has been given by Stone [3].

Iso, Karabali and Sakita [5] have studied the 2+1 dimensional non-relativistic fermionic theory and have bosonized the theory from the onset. In the fermionic theory, the strong magnetic field projects the electrons to the lowest Landau level (L.L.L.). In the bosonic language this L.L.L. condition leads to a classical configuration in the form of a droplet whose shape is determined by the confining potential. Namely, from $A_0(x, y) = \mu$ we obtain a curve $y = y(x)$ which is the boundary of the droplet. The bosonic fluctuations around this classical configuration are precisely the chiral bosonic edge waves. The vibration of the surface just means the excitation of electrons from just below the Fermi surface to just above it. Since the energy levels here are quasi-continuous, the excitations are gapless. The magnetic field causes the electrons to rotate in a given direction and thus the edge waves are chiral. This present work can be taken to be an analysis of the same problem in the presence of additional perturbative electromagnetic fields.

In previous works on the edge waves of the Quantum Hall droplet, the boundary degree of freedom appears as a postulated construct designed to maintain gauge invariance. Our purpose here is to demystify the origin of the edge waves. We start from the original fermionic degrees of freedom and extract those that describe the edge waves. On doing this we see that the bulk of the droplet is naturally described by an effective Lagrangian involving the perturbing potential. The electromagnetic interaction of the edge waves is also obtained and we comment on the $U(1)$ gauge-invariance of this effective description.

Let us consider a system of planar (2+1 dimensional) electrons in a magnetic field B set up orthogonal to the plane. This magnetic field creates Landau levels on the plane. Each Landau level has a degeneracy given by $B/2\pi$ per unit area. The gap between Landau levels is given by $\omega = B/m$ where m is the mass of an electron. Working with the single-particle Hamiltonian

$$h_0 = \frac{1}{2m}(\vec{p} - \vec{A})^2, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\vec{A} = B(0, x)$ in the Landau gauge, we see that the wave function for the n th Landau

level is given by

$$\langle \vec{x}|n, X\rangle = \sqrt{B/2\pi}(B/\pi)^{1/4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} e^{iBXy} e^{-\frac{B}{2}(x-X)^2} H_n(\sqrt{B}(x-X)), \quad (1.2)$$

where X , the centre of the classical cyclotron motion is arbitrary and is a measure of the degeneracy. The corresponding eigen-energy is $E_n = (n + \frac{1}{2})\omega$ which contains no reference to X . So given n , the index of the Landau level, the electron can be anywhere on the plane, depending on the value of X chosen. However, if an uniform electric field is also turned on, say in the x -direction, where $\vec{E} = (E, 0)$, the energy eigenvalues are

$$E_n(X) = (n + \frac{1}{2})\omega + EX - E^2/2m\omega^2. \quad (1.3)$$

The corresponding eigenfunction is

$$\langle \vec{x}|n, X\rangle = \sqrt{B/2\pi}(B/\pi)^{1/4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} e^{iBXy} e^{-\frac{B}{2}(x-X-X_0)^2} H_n(\sqrt{B}(x-X-X_0)), \quad (1.4)$$

where $X_0 \equiv -E/m\omega^2$. So the degeneracy in X is lifted and the electron will drift to large negative values of X to minimize the energy. We can use this external electrostatic potential $A_0 = Ex$ to define a droplet of electrons. We fill all the single-particle states with $n = 0$ and $X \leq 0$. Physically, these electrons will exist in the negative half-plane. We call this a droplet and identify the y -axis as the edge of the droplet.

In a second-quantized description of this system, we choose as the ground state, the state in the Fock-space with all the $X \leq 0$ for $n = 0$ filled. So, the Fermi-surface in this case coincides with the physical edge of the droplet. The droplet is incompressible as all the electronic states in it are filled. The only way to excite it perturbatively is to excite electrons with X small and negative to small and positive values of X . With reference to the ground state defined earlier, this corresponds to producing neutral electron-hole pairs. This leads to a deformation of the linear profile of the edge. These are the edge excitations described in the literature. Due to the magnetic field, they are chiral in nature, as has been mentioned earlier.

In this work, we wish to extract the effective Lagrangian for these excitations and the nature of their electromagnetic interactions from the original microscopic Lagrangian of the planar fermions. Further, we shall investigate the role of the edge excitations in preserving the original electromagnetic $U(1)$ gauge invariance of the system. For this purpose we derive the effective Lagrangian for the electrons in the L.L.L. , interacting with perturbative external electromagnetic potentials, whose typical frequency is much less than the cyclotron energy and the inverse of the magnetic length. Thus we keep terms only upto the quadratic in the electromagnetic potentials and consistently drop the higher spatial and time derivatives of these potentials.

II. Computing the effective action for the lowest Landau level.

The kinematics of electrons in the L.L.L. has already been discussed by Girvin and Jach [6]. However, to make the discussion reasonably self-contained, we introduce our own notation in the following.

The Lagrangian for planar electrons in a magnetic field normal to the plane is

$$\int d\vec{x} \psi^\dagger(\vec{x}, t) \left(i\partial_t - h_0 \right) \psi(\vec{x}, t). \quad (2.1)$$

The corresponding action is given by

$$-S_0 = \langle \psi | \hat{p}_t + h_0 | \psi \rangle, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\langle t | \hat{p}_t = -i\partial_t \langle t |$ and $\psi(\vec{x}, t) \equiv \langle \vec{x}, t | \psi \rangle$ is the Schrödinger wave field for electrons. The single-particle Hamiltonian, h_0 , is

$$h_0 = \frac{1}{2m} \vec{\Pi}^2.$$

$\vec{\Pi} \equiv \vec{p} - \vec{A}$, and $\vec{A} \equiv B(y, -x)$ is the vector potential for the magnetic field in the symmetric gauge. B is of dimension $(\text{mass})^2$. So there are two parameters with mass dimension, $\omega = B/m$ and $\sqrt{B}$, both of which are much greater than the typical frequency of the

external electromagnetic fields. Define $\hat{\pi} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2B}}(\hat{\Pi}^x - i\hat{\Pi}^y)$ and $\hat{\pi}^\dagger \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2B}}(\hat{\Pi}^x + i\hat{\Pi}^y)$. So, $\hat{\pi}$ and $\hat{\pi}^\dagger$ are dimensionless. Their commutator is

$$[\hat{\pi}, \hat{\pi}^\dagger] = 1$$

Dropping the zero-point energy, the Hamiltonian is written as

$$h_0 = \omega \hat{\pi}^\dagger \hat{\pi} \quad (2.3)$$

Further, we define the guiding centre coordinate operators

$$\hat{X} \equiv \hat{x} - \frac{1}{B}\hat{\Pi}^y \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{Y} \equiv \hat{y} + \frac{1}{B}\hat{\Pi}^x$$

and their holomorphic form

$$\hat{a} \equiv \sqrt{B/2}(\hat{X} + i\hat{Y}) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{a}^\dagger \equiv \sqrt{B/2}(\hat{X} - i\hat{Y})$$

The commutators are

$$[\hat{X}, \hat{Y}] = \frac{i}{B} \quad \text{and} \quad [\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] = 1 \quad (2.4)$$

$\hat{a}$ and $\hat{a}^\dagger$ are dimensionless. Further,

$$[\hat{a}, \hat{\pi}] = [\hat{a}, \hat{\pi}^\dagger] = [\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{\pi}] = [\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{\pi}^\dagger] = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

Thus we have two sets of independent raising and lowering operators. We choose to expand $|\psi\rangle$ in the $\{|n, \zeta\rangle\}$ basis, where $|n, \zeta\rangle \equiv |n\rangle \otimes |\zeta\rangle$; i.e

$$\hat{\pi}^\dagger \hat{\pi} |n\rangle = n |n\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{a} |\zeta\rangle = \zeta |\zeta\rangle \quad (2.6)$$

namely the coherent state. Let

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\psi_n\rangle \quad \text{such that} \quad \langle m, \bar{\zeta}, t | \psi \rangle = \delta_{m,n} \hat{\psi}_n(\bar{\zeta}, t) \quad (2.7)$$

Thus, the action is written as

$$-S_0 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle \psi_n | \hat{p}_t + \omega n | \psi_n \rangle \quad (2.8)$$

We now introduce electromagnetic perturbations through minimal coupling. The action is

$$-S = \langle \psi | \hat{p}_t + h_0 + h_{int} | \psi \rangle \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$h_{int} = \frac{1}{2m} [-\vec{\Pi} \cdot \vec{\mathcal{A}} - \vec{\mathcal{A}} \cdot \vec{\Pi} + \vec{\mathcal{A}}^2] + A_0.$$

Let

$$A \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2B}} (\mathcal{A}^x + i\mathcal{A}^y) \quad \text{and} \quad A^\dagger \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2B}} (\mathcal{A}^x - i\mathcal{A}^y)$$

which are functions of

$$\hat{z} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{B}{2}} (\hat{x} + i\hat{y}) = \hat{a} - i\hat{\pi}^\dagger \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\bar{z}} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{B}{2}} (\hat{x} - i\hat{y}) = \hat{a}^\dagger + i\hat{\pi}$$

So, A , $A^\dagger$, z , $\bar{z}$ are all dimensionless. We note,

$$[\hat{\pi}, A(\hat{z}, \hat{\bar{z}})] = -i\partial_z A(\hat{z}, \hat{\bar{z}}) \quad \text{and} \quad [\bar{A}(\hat{z}, \hat{\bar{z}}), \hat{\pi}^\dagger] = i\partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{A}(\hat{z}, \hat{\bar{z}})$$

So, the interaction hamiltonian is rewritten as

$$h_{int} = -\frac{\omega}{2} [A\hat{\pi} + \hat{\pi}^\dagger \bar{A} + \hat{\pi} A + \bar{A} \hat{\pi}^\dagger] + \omega A \bar{A} + A_0 \quad (2.10).$$

Now, an arbitrary function f of $\hat{z}$, $\hat{\bar{z}}$ may be written as

$$f(\hat{z}, \hat{\bar{z}}) = \sum_{n,m} \frac{1}{m!n!} (-i)^n (i)^m (\hat{\pi}^\dagger)^n (\hat{\pi})^m \ddagger \partial_z^n \partial_{\bar{z}}^m f(z, \bar{z})|_{z=\hat{a}^\dagger, \bar{z}=\hat{a}^\ddagger}$$

where $\ddagger \ddagger$ means antinormal ordering of $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{a}^\dagger$. That is, $\hat{a}$ is always placed to the left of $\hat{a}^\dagger$. This ordering arises naturally from the normal ordering adopted for $\hat{\pi}$ and $\hat{\pi}^\dagger$. Utilizing this, we write

$$h_{int} = m_{00} + m_{10} \hat{\pi}^\dagger + m_{01} \hat{\pi} + m_{11} \hat{\pi}^\dagger \hat{\pi} + m_{20} \hat{\pi}^{\dagger 2} + m_{02} \hat{\pi}^2 + \dots \quad (2.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
m_{00} &= \frac{i\omega}{2}(\partial_z A - \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{A}) + \omega A \bar{A} + A_0 + \dots \\
m_{10} &= -\omega \bar{A} + \frac{\omega}{2}\partial_z(\partial_z A - \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{A}) - i\omega\partial_z(A\bar{A}) - i\partial_z A_0 + \dots \\
m_{01} &= -\omega A + \frac{\omega}{2}\partial_{\bar{z}}(\partial_z A - \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{A}) + i\omega\partial_{\bar{z}}(A\bar{A}) + i\partial_{\bar{z}} A_0 + \dots \\
m_{11} &= i\omega(\partial_z A - \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{A}) + \dots \\
m_{20} &= i\omega\partial_z \bar{A} + \dots \\
m_{02} &= -i\omega\partial_{\bar{z}} A + \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

The antinormal ordering of $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{a}^\dagger$ is tacit in the above. From (2.9),

$$\begin{aligned}
-S &= \langle \psi_0 | \hat{p}_t | \psi_0 \rangle + \langle \psi_0 | h_{\text{int}} | \psi_0 \rangle \\
&+ \sum_{n \neq 0} [\langle \psi_0 | h_{\text{int}} | \psi_n \rangle + \langle \psi_n | h_{\text{int}} | \psi_0 \rangle] + \sum_{n, n' \neq 0} \langle \psi_n | \hat{p}_t + h_{\text{int}} + h_0 | \psi_{n'} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating the modes with $n \neq 0$ out, we get

$$-S_{\text{eff}} = \langle \psi_0 | \hat{p}_t | \psi_0 \rangle + \langle \psi_0 | h_{\text{int}} | \psi_0 \rangle - \langle \psi_0 | h_{\text{int}} \left[\frac{1}{\hat{p}_t + h_0 + h_{\text{int}}} \right] h_{\text{int}} | \psi_0 \rangle \tag{2.13}$$

“ $\frac{1}{\hat{p}_t + h_0 + h_{\text{int}}}$ ” is just a notation. It means that all the intermediate states exclude $n = 0$.

So,

$$-S_{\text{eff}} = \langle \psi_0 | \hat{p}_t | \psi_0 \rangle + H_{\text{eff}}^{(0)} + H_{\text{eff}}^{(1)} + H_{\text{eff}}^{(2)} + \langle \psi | h_{\text{int}} \frac{1}{h_0} \hat{p}_t \frac{1}{h_0} h_{\text{int}} | \psi \rangle + \dots \tag{2.14}.$$

In this expansion and in all subsequent discussions, the occurrence of $\frac{1}{h_0}$ is automatically taken to signify that $n = 0$ is omitted from the intermediate states. We have dropped the subscript “0” from $|\psi\rangle$.

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{\text{eff}}^{(0)} &\equiv \langle \psi | h_{\text{int}} | \psi \rangle \\
H_{\text{eff}}^{(1)} &\equiv -\langle \psi | h_{\text{int}} \frac{1}{h_0} h_{\text{int}} | \psi \rangle \\
H_{\text{eff}}^{(2)} &\equiv \langle \psi | h_{\text{int}} \frac{1}{h_0} h_{\text{int}} \frac{1}{h_0} h_{\text{int}} | \psi \rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

We choose the external perturbing fields to be slowly varying in space and time. Thus, we drop all derivatives higher than the leading order. This means effectively that we may

truncate the sum over all $n > 0$ to a sum over the first few terms. Further, since $|\frac{A^\mu}{\sqrt{B}}| \ll 1$, we drop terms arising out of the higher iterations of “ $\frac{1}{\hat{p}_t + h_0 + h_{\text{int}}}$.” In computing H_{eff} we come across expressions like

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi | \dagger A(\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger) \dagger | \psi \rangle \\ \langle \psi | \dagger A(\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger) \dagger \dagger B(\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger) \dagger | \psi \rangle \\ \langle \psi | \dagger A(\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger) \dagger \dagger B(\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger) \dagger \dagger C(\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger) \dagger | \psi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

These are written as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi | \dagger A(\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger) \dagger | \psi \rangle &= \int d^2 z e^{-|z|^2} \bar{\psi}(z, t) A(z, \bar{z}, t) \psi(\bar{z}, t) \\ \langle \psi | \dagger A(\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger) \dagger \dagger B(\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger) \dagger | \psi \rangle &= \int d^2 z e^{-|z|^2} \bar{\psi}(z, t) \left[A(z, \bar{z}, t) B(z, \bar{z}, t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \partial_{\bar{z}} A(z, \bar{z}, t) \partial_z B(z, \bar{z}, t) \dots \right] \psi(\bar{z}, t) \\ \langle \psi | \dagger A(\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger) \dagger \dagger B(\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger) \dagger \dagger C(\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger) \dagger | \psi \rangle &= \int d^2 z e^{-|z|^2} \bar{\psi}(z, t) \left[ABC - A(\partial_{\bar{z}} B)(\partial_z C) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (\partial_{\bar{z}} A) \partial_z (BC) + \dots \right] \psi(\bar{z}, t) \end{aligned}$$

where $d^2 z \equiv d(\text{Re} z) d(\text{Im} z) / \pi$. We write

$$A_0(\vec{x}, t) = Ex + \mathcal{A}_0(\vec{x}, t) \quad (2.16)$$

where Ex is a fixed background. Using (2.11) to (2.16), it is straightforwardly shown that

$$\begin{aligned} -L_{\text{eff}} &= \frac{1}{2} \int d^2 z e^{-|z|^2} \left[1 + A\bar{A} - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\bar{z}} A)(\partial_z \bar{A}) + \frac{iE}{\omega\sqrt{2B}}(A - \bar{A}) \right] \left(\bar{\psi}(z, t) (-i \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_t) \psi(\bar{z}, t) \right) \\ &\quad + \int d^2 z \rho(z, \bar{z}, t) \left[\mathcal{A}_0 + \frac{i\omega}{2}(\partial_z A - \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{A}) - i(A\partial_z \mathcal{A}_0 - \bar{A}\partial_{\bar{z}} \mathcal{A}_0) - iA\partial_t \bar{A} \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{E}{\sqrt{2B}} \left((z + \bar{z}) - i(A - \bar{A}) + \frac{1}{2}(z + \bar{z})(\partial_z A - \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{A})^2 + \frac{1}{2}\partial_z [-(z + \bar{z})A(\partial_z A - \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{A}) - A^2] \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\bar{z}} [(z + \bar{z})\bar{A}(\partial_z A - \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{A}) - \bar{A}^2] + (z + \bar{z})A\bar{A} - \frac{1}{2}(z + \bar{z})(\partial_{\bar{z}} A)(\partial_z \bar{A}) \right) \right] \quad (2.17) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\rho(z, \bar{z}, t) \equiv \bar{\psi}(z, t) \psi(\bar{z}, t) e^{-|z|^2} = \langle \psi | z \rangle \langle z | \psi \rangle e^{-|z|^2}$$

In deriving (2.17) we have kept upto the quadratic in the electromagnetic potentials. Further, we have dropped all spatial and time derivatives of $\mathcal{A}^\mu$, A_0 beyond the appropriate leading order in accordance with our assumption about the slowly varying nature of $\mathcal{A}^\mu$, A_0 . This effective Lagrangian is not yet quite a canonical fermionic Lagrangian. To get a standard fermionic Lagrangian, we redefine $\psi(\bar{z}, t)$ and $\bar{\psi}(z, t)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\bar{z}, t) &\rightarrow \ddagger \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} A \bar{A} (\partial_{\bar{z}}, \bar{z}, t) - \frac{1}{4} F (\partial_{\bar{z}}, \bar{z}, t) + \frac{iE}{2\omega\sqrt{2B}} (A - \bar{A}) (\partial_{\bar{z}}, \bar{z}, t) \right] \ddagger \psi(\bar{z}, t) \\ \bar{\psi}(z, t) &\rightarrow \ddagger \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} A \bar{A} (\partial_z, z, t) - \frac{1}{4} F (\partial_z, z, t) + \frac{iE}{2\omega\sqrt{2B}} (A - \bar{A}) (\partial_z, z, t) \right] \ddagger \bar{\psi}(z, t)\end{aligned}\quad (2.18)$$

where $F \equiv (\partial_{\bar{z}} A)(\partial_z \bar{A})$ and $\ddagger \ddagger$ indicates that in the first expression in (2.18) $\partial_{\bar{z}}$ is always to the left of $\bar{z}$ and in the second expression ∂_z is always to the left of z . With these redefinitions, we get

$$\begin{aligned}-L_{\text{eff}} &= \int d^2z \, e^{-|z|^2} \bar{\psi}(z, t) (-i\partial_t) \psi(\bar{z}, t) \\ &+ \int d^2z \, \rho(z, \bar{z}, t) \left[\mathcal{A}_0 + \frac{i\omega}{2} (\partial_z A - \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{A}) - i(A\partial_z \mathcal{A}_0 - \bar{A}\partial_{\bar{z}} \mathcal{A}_0) - \frac{i}{2} (A\partial_t \bar{A} - \partial_t A \bar{A}) \right. \\ &+ \frac{E}{\sqrt{2B}} \left((z + \bar{z}) - i(A - \bar{A}) + \frac{1}{2} (z + \bar{z}) (\partial_z A - \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{A})^2 + \frac{1}{2} \partial_z [-(z + \bar{z}) A (\partial_z A - \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{A}) - A^2 + A \bar{A}] \right. \\ &\left. \left. + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\bar{z}} [(z + \bar{z}) \bar{A} (\partial_z A - \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{A}) - \bar{A}^2 + A \bar{A}] \right) \right] \quad (2.19)\end{aligned}$$

The microscopic action had a local $U(1)$ invariance. The effect of $\mathcal{A}^\mu \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^\mu + \partial^\mu \Lambda$ was compensated for by suitable local redefinitions of the phase of the fermionic field. But within the framework of the effective action, where the fermionic modes for $n \neq 0$ are unavailable, the transformation required for $|\psi\rangle$ is necessarily more complicated than just a local redefinition of phase. The transformation required can be shown to be

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\psi(\bar{z}, t) &= \ddagger \left[-i\Lambda + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\bar{z}} \Lambda) \bar{A} - \frac{1}{2} A (\partial_z \Lambda) - \frac{1}{4} (\partial_{\bar{z}}^2 \Lambda) (\partial_z \bar{A}) \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{1}{4} (\partial_{\bar{z}} A) (\partial_z^2 \Lambda) + \frac{iE}{2\omega\sqrt{2B}} (\partial_{\bar{z}} \Lambda + \partial_z \Lambda) \right] (\partial_{\bar{z}}, \bar{z}, t) \ddagger \psi(\bar{z}, t)\end{aligned}\quad (2.20)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\bar{\psi}(z, t) = \dagger \left[i\Lambda - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\bar{z}}\Lambda)\bar{A} + \frac{1}{2}A(\partial_z\Lambda) + \frac{1}{4}(\partial_{\bar{z}}^2\Lambda)(\partial_z\bar{A}) \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{4}(\partial_{\bar{z}}A)(\partial_z^2\Lambda) - \frac{iE}{2\omega\sqrt{2B}}(\partial_{\bar{z}}\Lambda + \partial_z\Lambda) \right] (\partial_z, z, t) \dagger \bar{\psi}(z, t) \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

These are W_∞ transformations [5]. One can show in a straightforward fashion that for infinitesimal Λ , which we have assumed all along, (2.20) and (2.21) cancel out all the Λ -dependence arising from S_{eff} as $\mathcal{A}^\mu \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^\mu + \partial^\mu \Lambda$. Here $\dagger \dagger$ indicates that in (2.20), $\partial_{\bar{z}}$ is always to the left of $\bar{z}$ and in (2.21), ∂_z is always to the left of z . Thus L_{eff} in (2.19) is gauge invariant.

III. Constructing the droplet.

If we imagine, momentarily that the fluctuating potentials have been switched off, the effective Lagrangian from (2.21) yields an effective action

$$S_{\text{eff}}^{(0)} = \langle \psi | (i\partial_t - \frac{E}{\sqrt{2B}}(\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger)) | \psi \rangle \quad (3.1)$$

where $|\psi\rangle$ is a second quantized operator. But $(\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger)/\sqrt{2B} = \hat{X}$. This implies that the dominant part of the effective Hamiltonian is

$$E\langle \psi | \hat{X} | \psi \rangle = E \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dX \psi^\dagger(X) X \psi(X) \quad (3.2)$$

where $\psi(X) = \langle X | \psi \rangle$ and $\{|X\rangle\}$ is the basis of the coordinate representation. We define the droplet to be such that all the single particle states upto the zero energy state are filled. So $X = 0$ is the Fermi surface. But, for a large magnetic field, $X \simeq x$, the real spatial coordinate. So, in physical space, the edge of the droplet is at $x = 0$. The ground state as defined above is

$$|G\rangle \equiv \prod_{X \leq 0} \psi^\dagger(X) |0\rangle \quad (3.3)$$

where $|0\rangle$ is the Fock vacuum. With respect to the ground state we re-define the fermion operators appropriately as particle and antiparticle (hole) operators.

Excitation of this droplet by means of the fluctuating potentials means the destruction of an electron within the Fermi sea and the creation of an electron outside of the Fermi sea. In terms of the state $|G\rangle$, this translates into the creation of a neutral particle-antiparticle excitation from the ground state.

Given that the perturbing potential is small and slowly varying in space-time, (this is the justification for the derivative expansion we have performed) we would expect only those electrons within some distance $\lambda \ll 1/\sqrt{B}$ to participate in the neutral excitations. In fact, an expansion in X about $X = 0$ yields, to leading order, an action for fermions interacting with $A, \bar{A}, \mathcal{A}_0$ on the boundary of the droplet ($X = 0$). The neutral particle-antiparticle excitations mentioned earlier are actually the neutral excitations around the filled Fermi sea for this boundary action.

To extract this boundary action from S_{eff} , we write the density operator as

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\rho}(z, \bar{z}, t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dX \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dX' \langle \psi | X, t \rangle \langle X', t | \psi \rangle \langle X | z \rangle \langle \bar{z} | X' \rangle e^{-|z|^2} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dX \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dX' \psi^\dagger(X, t) \psi(X', t) \langle X | z \rangle \langle \bar{z} | X' \rangle e^{-|z|^2}\end{aligned}\quad (3.4)$$

where $\{\psi^\dagger(X, t), \psi(X', t)\} = \delta(X - X')$. We note that if $X, X' \leq 0$, $\psi^\dagger, \psi$ exchange their roles with respect to $|G\rangle$. This implies that

$$\rho(z, \bar{z}, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dX \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dX' [:\psi^\dagger(X, t)\psi(X', t): + \Theta(-X)\delta(X - X')]\langle X | z \rangle \langle \bar{z} | X' \rangle e^{-|z|^2}\quad (3.5)$$

where $:$ indicates normal ordering with respect to $|G\rangle$. The term independent of the fermion fields is

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 dX \langle X | z \rangle \langle \bar{z} | X \rangle e^{-|z|^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{-\sqrt{B}x} d\gamma \exp(-\gamma^2) \sim \Theta(-x)\quad (3.6)$$

The last expression is valid in the large B limit. When this is inserted in (2.19), we get an

effective action involving only $\mathcal{A}_\mu$, called the bulk action.

$$S_{\text{eff}}^{\text{bulk}} \simeq -\frac{B}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int_{-\infty}^0 dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \left[Ex + \mathcal{A}_0 - \frac{1}{2m}b + \frac{E}{B}\mathcal{A}^y + \frac{1}{2B}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}\mathcal{A}_\mu\partial_\nu\mathcal{A}_\rho \right. \quad (3.7)$$

$$\left. - \frac{2E}{(2B)^2} \left\{ 2\mathcal{A}^x\partial_y\mathcal{A}^y + x(\mathcal{A}^x\partial_yb - \mathcal{A}^y\partial_xb) - 3\mathcal{A}^yb \right\} \right] + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \mathcal{A}^0(y,t)\mathcal{A}^y(y,t)$$

where $b \equiv \partial_x\mathcal{A}^y - \partial_y\mathcal{A}^x$, $\mathcal{A}^\mu(y,t) \equiv \mathcal{A}^\mu(x=0,y,t)$. We see that $S_{\text{eff}}^{\text{bulk}}$ contains a Chern-Simons term. It exists only in the bulk of the droplet. Under gauge transformation, $\mathcal{A}^i \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^i - \partial_i\Lambda$ and $\mathcal{A}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^0 + \partial_t\Lambda$,

$$\delta S_{\text{eff}}^{\text{bulk}} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \Lambda(y,t) \left[\partial_y\mathcal{A}_0(y,t) + \frac{E}{B}\partial_y\mathcal{A}^y(y,t) \right] \quad (3.8)$$

So the gauge dependence is through a boundary term. The fermionic part of S_{eff} is obtained by inserting $:\rho(z, \bar{z}, t):$ in place of $\rho(z, \bar{z}, t)$ in (2.19). We expand the fermionic part of S_{eff} and keep only the low momentum ($X \sim 0$) fermions. Thus we get

$$S_{\text{bdry}} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dY \psi^\dagger(Y,t) \left[\{i\partial_t - \mathcal{A}_0(Y,t)\} + \frac{E}{B}\{-i\partial_Y - \mathcal{A}^y(Y,t)\} \right] \psi(Y,t). \quad (3.9)$$

Here normal ordering with respect to the ground state is implicit. $\psi(Y,t) \equiv \langle Y|\psi\rangle$ is actually the Fourier transform of $\langle X|\psi\rangle$ used in (3.4), since $[\hat{X}, \hat{Y}] = \frac{i}{B}$. We however continue to use the same symbol ψ . Thus

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int d^2\zeta e^{-|\zeta|^2} \psi^\dagger(\zeta,t) i\partial_t \psi(\bar{\zeta},t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dY \psi^\dagger(Y,t) i\partial_t \psi(Y,t). \quad (3.10)$$

Also,

$$\langle \psi | E\hat{X} | \psi \rangle = i\frac{E}{B} \psi^\dagger(Y,t) \partial_Y \psi(Y,t). \quad (3.11)$$

which we have used in deriving (3.9). The neutral excitations of electron-hole pairs are the neutral excitations of this boundary action since we have expressed the low momentum ($X \simeq 0$) part of the original normal ordered fermion action as this boundary action. The electrons in the high momentum eigen states are unaffected as long as the momenta of the perturbing potentials are $\ll \sqrt{B}$. Since we are interested in the low energy perturbations of

the droplet, $S_{\text{eff}}^{\text{bulk}}$ is the net effect of the fermions inside of the droplet. S_{bdry} is classically gauge invariant. But quantum mechanically this theory is an anomalous gauge theory [7,8]. This means that the quantized theory will not be gauge invariant. The gauge parameter dependence of the theory can be best extracted by writing it in the bosonic language.

By studying the bosonization of the L.L.L. fermions, the following result was obtained in [5]. The Lagrangian is written in the form of (2.19) in terms of the L.L.L. fermion fields, namely

$$L_{\text{eff}} = \int d\vec{x} \bar{\psi}(\vec{x}, t) i \partial_t \psi(\vec{x}, t) - \int d\vec{x} \bar{\psi}(\vec{x}, t) \psi(\vec{x}, t) f(\vec{x}, t) \quad (3.12)$$

where f is a function of $\vec{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_0$, as given in (2.19) and $\psi(\vec{x}, t)$ satisfies the L.L.L. condition, $(\partial_z + \frac{1}{2}\bar{z})\psi(\vec{x}, t) = 0$. In the bosonized form, these fields are replaced by bosonic Schrödinger fields. In the bosonized form, the Lagrangian is precisely given by (3.12), where ψ is replaced by a bosonic Schrödinger wave field which obeys the nonlinear L.L.L. condition, $(\partial_z + \frac{1}{2}\bar{z} - \int \frac{\bar{\psi}\psi(\vec{x}')}{z-z'})\psi(\vec{x}, t) = 0$. This L.L.L. condition can be approximately solved in the droplet approximation. This solution is that the density $\bar{\psi}\psi(\vec{x})$ is equal to $B/2\pi$ inside of a certain region of space (droplet) and zero outside. The dynamical variable is then the boundary fluctuations of the uniform density around this “classical” droplet configuration. We denote these fluctuations in the following by $\phi(Y, t)$. The first term in (3.12) gives the first term in the free chiral bosonic Lagrangian [5],[9],[10], in terms of $\phi(Y, t)$. Working within this droplet approximation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\text{eff}} = & \frac{B^2}{8\pi} \int dY dY' \phi(Y, t) \epsilon(Y - Y') \dot{\phi}(Y', t) - \frac{B}{2\pi} \int dY \left[-\mathcal{A}_0 - \frac{E}{B} \mathcal{A}^y \right] \phi(Y) \\ & - \frac{BE}{2\pi} \int dY \frac{1}{2} \phi^2(Y) - \frac{B}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^0 dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \left[\mathcal{A}_0 - \frac{1}{2m} b + Ex + \frac{E}{B} \mathcal{A}^y \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{2B} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \mathcal{A}_\mu \partial_\nu \mathcal{A}_\rho - \frac{2E}{(2B)^2} \left\{ 2\mathcal{A}^x \partial_y \mathcal{A}^y + x(\mathcal{A}^x \partial_y b - \mathcal{A}^y \partial_x b) - 3\mathcal{A}^y b \right\} \Big] \\ & + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \mathcal{A}^0(y, t) \mathcal{A}^y(y, t) \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where $\epsilon(Y - Y')$ is equal to 1 for $Y > Y'$ and -1 for $Y < Y'$. The equation of motion for

$\phi(Y, t)$ is

$$\frac{B^2}{4\pi} \int dY' \epsilon(Y - Y') \dot{\phi}(Y', t) - \frac{BE}{2\pi} \phi(Y, t) + \frac{B}{2\pi} (\mathcal{A}_0 + \frac{E}{B} \mathcal{A}^y) = 0 \quad (3.14)$$

This means quantum mechanically

$$[\partial_t - \frac{E}{B} \partial_Y] \langle \phi(Y, t) \rangle = -\frac{1}{B} \partial_Y (\mathcal{A}_0 + \frac{E}{B} \mathcal{A}^y) \quad (3.15)$$

where $\langle \dots \rangle$ denotes the quantum mechanical average over ϕ . Now under gauge transformation, the change in the partition function due to the change in the action for ϕ is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta S_{\text{eff}}^{\text{bdry}} \rangle &= \frac{B}{2\pi} \int dt \int dY \left[-\partial_t \Lambda + \frac{E}{B} \partial_Y \Lambda \right] \langle \phi(Y, t) \rangle \\ &= -\frac{B}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dY \Lambda(Y, t) \left(\partial_t - \frac{E}{B} \partial_Y \right) \langle \phi(Y, t) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dY \Lambda(Y, t) \left[\partial_Y \mathcal{A}_0 + \frac{E}{B} \partial_Y \mathcal{A}^y \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

by (3.15). So comparing (3.8) and (3.16) we see that all the Λ -dependence precisely cancels out. We have therefore demonstrated explicitly that all the gauge non-invariance of the bulk, which appears as a boundary term is precisely removed by the gauge non-invariance of the chiral bosonic action governing the surface oscillations of the droplet.

This emphasizes the importance of the edge states in maintaining gauge invariance in the system.

V. Conclusion

In this paper we have studied the electromagnetic interactions of a quantum Hall droplet. We integrate the higher Landau levels out and within the framework of a derivative-expansion scheme obtain a gauge invariant effective action for the electrons in the L.L.L. We know that $2+1$ dimensional electrons in the L.L.L. are equivalent to $1+1$ dimensional electrons, the configuration space of the $2+1$ dimensional system being the phase space of the $1+1$ dimensional system, [5]. In the Thomas-Fermi picture [11], the $1+1$ dimensional

electron gas occupies a region of constant density in phase space. This region in the $1 + 1$ deimensional phase space coincides precisely with the physical droplet of electrons in the L.L.L. created by the background electrostatic potential. The bulk of the droplet which corresponds to a filled fermi sea contributes an effective action, called the bulk action, in terms of the perturbative electromagnetic potentials. The bulk action is not gauge invariant. This non-invariance, however, is spurious as it is cancelled by the gauge non-invariance of the $1 + 1$ dimensional edge system. Thus the basic mechanism for the preservation of gauge invariance as suggested in [2], [3] and [4] is seen to be valid. Moreover, we have a well-defined and systematic procedure for isolating the $1 + 1$ dimensional edge from the original $2 + 1$ dimensional system.

The case of the fractional Hall droplet can be similarly handled, at least phenomenologically, by writing the bosonic density $\bar{\psi}\psi(\vec{x})$ in the discussion following (3.12), as $\nu B/2\pi$ inside of the droplet, where ν is the appropriate filling factor.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge useful discussions with S. Iso, D. Karabali, P. Orland and P. Panigrahi. This work was supported by the NSF grant PHY90-20495 and the Professional Staff Congress Board of Higher Education of the City University of New York under grant no. 6-63351.

References

- [1] B.I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. **B 25**, 2185, (1982)
- [2] X.G. Wen, Phys. Rev. **B 43**, 11025, (1990) and references therein
- [3] M. Stone, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.), **207**, 38, (1991); Int. J. Mod. Phys. **B5**, 509, (1991)
- [4] J. Fröhlich & T. Kerler, Nucl. Phys. **B354**, 365, (1991)
- [5] S. Iso, D. Karabali & B. Sakita, Nucl. Phys. **B 388**, 700, (1992); Phys. Lett. **B 296**, 143, (1992)
- [6] S.M. Girvin & T. Jach, Phys. Rev. **B 29**, 5617, (1983)
- [7] R. Jackiw & R. Rajaraman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **54**, 1219, (1985)
- [8] L. Faddeev & S. Shatashvili, Phys. Lett. **167 B** 225,(1986)
- [9] R. Floreanini & R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**,1873, (1987)
- [10] J. Sonnenschein, Nucl. Phys. **B309**, 752, (1988)
- [11] See e.g. C. Kittel, “Introduction to Solid State Physics, ” (Wiley, New York, 1986)